



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/773,433	02/09/2004	Peter Wiedenberg	Q78997	1231
23373	7590	03/24/2008	EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			ZHOU, TING	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 800			2173	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037				
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		03/24/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/773,433	<b>Applicant(s)</b> WIEDENBERG, PETER
	<b>Examiner</b> TING ZHOU	<b>Art Unit</b> 2173

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
  - If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(o).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/04/07.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.      2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 04 December 2007 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/0256/06)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

**DETAILED ACTION**

1. The amendment filed on 04 December 2007 have been received and entered. The applicant has added new claims 11-13. Claims 1-13 as amended are pending in the application.

***Claim Objections***

2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 1 lacks antecedent basis support for the limitation “the dialog box”, recited in line 3. Appropriate correction is required.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-3 and 5-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolber et al. U.S. Patent 5,261,043 (hereinafter “Wolber”) and Elsbree WO 99/66651.

Referring to claim 1, Wolber teaches a method comprising automatically generating a dialog box (the system generates the display of the dialog box 302 shown in Figure 3) (Wolber: column 4, lines 38-67); selecting at least one data element to be

Art Unit: 2100

displayed from the data that is transmitted in the dialog box (data "454" is selected, i.e. displayed in box 302- field 310, as shown in Figure 3) (Wolber: column 4, lines 38-67); indicating a memory address of the data element (the input data "454 is output and connected as input to another data via connection 210, as shown in Figure 3) (Wolber: column 4, lines 40-53); and assigning the transmitted data that is to be displayed to certain, stored data types (network programming data that is transmitted has a data type, which is converted to a stored, i.e. accepted data type) (Wolber: column 2, lines 27-40 and 63-68); and automatically generating the at least one display box on the operator interface of the computer user station so as to display the data to be displayed utilizing display types that are respectively assigned to the data types (the box shown in Figure 3 displays the data being input into the input terminal according to data types; specifically, the input data shown in Figure 3 is a "Real Number" data type, therefore, the box 204 shown in Figure 3 utilizes the display type associated with the "Real Number" data type, i.e. displaying the data as a real number "454") (Wolber: column 4, lines 38-67). However, although Wolber teaches the display of transmitted data, Wolber fails to explicitly teach the transmitted data is data of an industrial process installation. Elsbree teaches a graphical user interface that displays transmitted data according to data types (Figure 4 shows the display of the computed data via display types such as bars, i.e. reference character 72 and pointers, i.e. reference character 78'; Figure 5 further shows the display of the received process control data from the machine as digital numerical outputs represented on output 88) (Elsbree: page 2, lines 12-27 and page 9, line 28 – page 11, line 30) similar to that of Wolber. In addition, Elsbree further teaches the display of process installation data (a computer and machine equipment are connected to allow them

Art Unit: 2100

to communicate process control information) (Elsbree: page 2, lines 12-27). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Wolber and Elsbree before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the method for transmitting data and displaying data according to display types assigned to data types for the transmitted data of Wolber to include the transmission and display of data according to data types for industrial installation process data, as taught by Elsbree. One would have been motivated make such a combination in order to ease the task of connecting to and controlling a machine to allow communication between the control computer and machine according to a standard communication protocol (Elsbree: page 1, line 19 – column 2, line 5 and column 2, lines 7-14).

Referring to claim 2, Wolber, as modified, teach wherein the data is selected from the group consisting of process data, status data, control data and regulating data (a computer and machine are connected to allow them to communicate process control information between each other) (Elsbree: page 2, lines 12-27); and wherein the display types are selected from the group consisting of pointers, bars, and numerical displays (Figure 4 shows the display of the computed data via display types such as bars, i.e. reference character 72 and pointers, i.e. reference character 78'; Figure 5 further shows the display of the received process control data from the machine as digital numerical outputs represented on output 88) (Elsbree: page 2, lines 12-27 and page 9, line 28 – page 11, line 30).

Referring to claim 3, Wolber, as modified, teach wherein the display box is retrieved on the operator interface of the computer user station via a link identifier of a

Art Unit: 2100

further display box (user selection of links, i.e. selection of buttons on a box leads to the display of a further, i.e. a new box) (Wolber: column 6, lines 58-64).

Referring to claim 5, Wolber, as modified, teach wherein the further display box is created via a supporting graphics program (the displayed information is achieved via a graphical program, i.e. iconic programming) (Wolber: column 1, lines 40-44, column 2, lines 27-31 and further shown in Figures 1 and 4).

Referring to claim 6, Wolber, as modified, teach wherein the transmitted data that is to be displayed is selected from the transmitted data of the process installation (a computer and machine are connected to allow them to communicate process control information via computed values and control signals; information at the actual hardware device can be transmitted and output to be displayed in certain data types on the computer) (Elsbree: page 2, lines 12-27 and page 9, line 28 – page 11, line 30).

Referring to claim 7, Wolber, as modified, teach modifying the assignment of the data types and the display types (information on the Input Terminal Information dialog box 304 shown in Figure 3 can be modified via user selection and input to change the selected parameters) (Wolber: column 5, lines 3-47).

Referring to claim 8, Wolber, as modified, teach modifying a number and a type of the display types (information on the Input Terminal Information dialog box 304 shown in Figure 3 can be modified via user selection and input to change the selected parameters; displayed parameters include the type and number/data of the signal attributes) (Wolber: column 5, lines 3-47).

Referring to claim 9, Wolber, as modified, teach modifying a number and a type of the data types (information on the Input Terminal Information dialog box 304 shown

in Figure 3 can be modified via user selection and input to change the selected parameters; displayed parameters include the type and number/data of the signal attributes) (Wolber: column 5, lines 3-47).

Referring to claim 10, Wolber teach a method comprising assigning data to respective data types stored in the computer (network programming data that is transmitted has a data type, which is converted to a stored, i.e. accepted data type) (Wolber: column 2, lines 27-40 and 63-68); assigning the data types to respective display types (the input data shown in Figure 3 is a “Real Number” data type, therefore, the dialog box shown in Figure 3 utilizes the display type associated with the “Real Number” data type, i.e. displaying the corresponding labels under “Type”, “Shape”, and “Data”) (Wolber: column 4, lines 38-67); and automatically generating at least one display box on a graphical user interface of the computer so as to display the data on the graphical user interface with the data types and the display types (the box shown in Figure 3 displays the data being input into the input terminal according to data types; specifically, the input data shown in Figure 3 is a “Real Number” data type, therefore, the dialog box shown in Figure 3 utilize the display type associated with the “Real Number” data type, i.e. displaying the corresponding labels under “Type”, “Shape”, and “Data”) (Wolber: column 4, lines 38-67). However, although Wolber teaches the display of transmitted data, Wolber fails to explicitly teach data transmitted from a technical facility to a computer. Elsbree teaches a graphical user interface that displays transmitted data according to data types (Figure 4 shows the display of the computed data via display types such as bars, i.e. reference character 72 and pointers, i.e. reference character 78’; Figure 5 further shows the display of the received process control data from the machine

Art Unit: 2100

as digital numerical outputs represented on output 88) (Elsbree: page 2, lines 12-27 and page 9, line 28 – page 11, line 30) similar to that of Wolber. In addition, Elsbree further teaches the display of data transmitted from a technical facility to a computer (a computer and machine equipment are connected to allow them to communicate process control information) (Elsbree: page 2, lines 12-27). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Wolber and Elsbree before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the method for transmitting data and displaying data according to display types assigned to data types for the transmitted data of Wolber to include the transmission and display of data according to data types for industrial installation process data, as taught by Elsbree. One would have been motivated make such a combination in order to ease the task of connecting to and controlling a machine to allow communication between the control computer and machine according to a standard communication protocol (Elsbree: page 1, line 19 – column 2, line 5 and column 2, lines 7-14).

Referring to claim 11, Wolber, as modified, teach wherein the data types define various types of data present in the installation process and wherein the transmitted data is categorized into one of the data types (data that is transmitted is categorized, i.e. converted to an accepted data type; the data types define various types of data such as “Int”, “Real”, “Text”, etc., as shown in Figure 3) (Wolber: column 2, lines 27-40 and 63-68).

Referring to claim 12, Wolber, as modified, teach wherein the assignments between the data types and the display types are pre-stored in the computer user station (information are pre-stored, i.e. input data is converted to already stored/acceptable types,

Art Unit: 2100

and correspondingly displayed) (Wolber: column 2, lines 37-40 and column 5, lines 3-20).

Referring to claim 13, Wolber, as modified, teach a user modifying the pre-stored assignments between the data types and the display types (information on the Input Terminal Information box 304 shown in Figure 3 can be modified via user selection and input to change the selected parameters) (Wolber: column 5, lines 3-47).

4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolber et al. U.S. Patent 5,261,043 (hereinafter “Wolber”) and Elsbree WO 99/66651, as applied to claims 1 and 3 above, and further in view of Tadokoro et al. U.S. Publication 2002/0156969 (hereinafter “Tadokoro”).

Referring to claim 4, Wolber and Elsbree teaches all of the limitations as applied to claims 1 and 3 above. However, Wolber and Elsbree fail to explicitly teach the display box is assigned to a library stored in the computer user station. Tadokoro teaches a graphical user interface for displaying information similar to that of Wolber and Elsbree. In addition, Tadokoro further teaches the display box is assigned to a library stored in the computer user station (box 290 is associated with the library system of the computer) (Tadokoro: page 20, paragraph 0346). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Wolber, Elsbree and Tadokoro before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the dialog box for displaying transmitted installation data via assigned display types of Wolber and Elsbree to include the association of the dialog box with a library as taught by Tadokoro. One would have been

Art Unit: 2100

motivated to make such a combination in order to provide a centralized place, such as a type of database system, for fast and easily storing and locating information.

***Response to Arguments***

5. Applicant's arguments filed 12/04/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

6. The examiner respectfully notes that although the examiner contacted the applicant's representative, Nataliya Dvorson (Reg. No. 56,616), on March 14, 2008 to indicate allowable subject matter and propose an examiner's amendment, upon further examination of the claim language and prior art, it appears that the prior art still renders the claim limitations obvious.

7. The applicant argues that Wolber discloses converting data to another data type and not assigning the transmitted data to a stored data type. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Wolber states that "Yet another aspect of the present invention is to provide methods to automatically convert data to an acceptable type and shape...", on column 2, lines 37-39. Therefore, Wolber teaches that the system comprises stored, i.e. acceptable data types. Furthermore, the examiner respectfully argues that converting data from one type to another assigns the data to the new type; in other words, when the transmitted data is converted to the stored acceptable type, the transmitted data is now assigned to the stored acceptable type.

Art Unit: 2100

8. The applicant further argues that the dialog box in Wolber is not automatically generated, but instead it is generated as a result of a user request to display the constraints for an input terminal. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Once the user requests a dialog box, the dialog box is automatically created and displayed *by the system*, i.e. the dialog box is not manually created by the user. In other words, once the user requests the display of the box using the pointing device to click on a menu item, the system takes that request and automatically displays the dialog box on the screen (column 4, lines 41-49). Box 204 further shows the display of the data, i.e. "Real Number 454" in Figure 3.

9. In view of the above, the examiner respectfully maintains that the combination of Wolber and Elsbree teach the subject limitations.

**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TING ZHOU whose telephone number is (571)272-4058. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00am - 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dennis Chow can be reached on (571) 272-7767. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TZ

/Dennis-Doon Chow/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2173